Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # <u>513</u> Case file # <u>95-279146</u>.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

· · ·

•

•

<u>CRM 858</u>


INDÉPENDENT	CASE	REV	/IEW	REPO	ORI
		•			

IND	EPENDENT CA	SE REVI	EW RI	EPORT	4
Independent Review conducted	d by: Steve Roberts	on			1
	<u></u>			· 	
Area(s) of Expertise: Hair a	und Fiber				
Review commenced at: 9:4:	5 AM (Time),	10/22/02	(Dat	e)	
File #: 95-279146					1
Laboratory #(s): 70728055					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					•
	Examiner(s) & Symbols	S		
Revie	wed Not Reviewed			Reviewed	! Not Reviewed
RQ X					i 🗆
TK UQ	X				
				G	
	Material	s Reviewed			
Trial testimony transcript(s) of	Not available, pl	ed guilty			
Testimony Date(s):		Pages:			
Laboratory Report(s):					1 1
Laboratory Number:	70728055		Date:	Oct 23, 1987	ţ
Laboratory Number:			Date:		·····
f also had a mer block have			Date:		- ,
Laboratory Number:					Þ
Eaboratory Number: Examiner Bench Notes of:	RQ and unknown tech	nician	_		
	RQ and unknown tech 70728055	mician	-		
Examiner Bench Notes of:		nician	-		
Examiner Bench Notes of:		of 3	-		
Examiner Bench Notes of:	70728055		-		

		aterial reviewed? X	Yes	o N	D		ļ	
lf ye	If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter dated July 27, 1987						27, 1987	
_								
Results of Review								
			IXCSULE		vv			
File	#: <u>95-27</u> 9	9146	Item or Spe	cimen # Revi	ewed:	Q3, K2, K3		
							•	
			-					
	г	Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:						
		Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses						
	L							
1)	Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination							
	memous,	proceeds, and analytic	-			hable to Deter		
2)	Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately						uately documente	
	the bench	notes?	D	Yes X No	o 🗆 Ur	able to Deten	mine	
							\$	
			Darianta	f Testimon			-	

X Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?
- □ Yes
 □ No
 □ Unable to Determine
 □ Yes
 □ No
 □ Unable to Determine
 □ Yes
 □ No
 □ Unable to Determine



Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)							
File #: 95-279146							
#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.							
Please note that the hair comparison was apparently confirmed by a second examiner.							
#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink							
and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The length and the number of hair examined is n							
	3						
	,						
	:						
	ſ						
	;						
	•						
Review completed at: 10:00 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date)	4						
	`						
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.25 hrs.	1						
I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the	amlta of my raniou						
are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages.	CSUID OF MY ICVICW						
$P. \Lambda$							
Muy Kabuta	Oct. 22, 202						
(Signature)	(Date)						
·	i T						
•							
•							
Page 3 of 3							
Page <u>3</u> of <u>3</u> Initials: <u>SMM</u>							